

*Dear usmnews.net*

Dear usmnews.net,

I have heard a number of people say that Dr. [Martha] Saunders didn't get the job at [the College of] Charleston, in large part, because of the articles [about her] you ran. What do you think of the theory?

Name withheld

Dear Name withheld,

I don't know the reasons Dr. Saunders was not selected as president of your school. I would like to think usmnews.net's reports encouraged decision-makers to consider Saunders' actual record rather than her PR blather. As someone from University of Wisconsin-Whitewater (where Saunders briefly served as Chancellor) [wrote usmnews.net](#), "[s]he went a long way with southern charm; but in the end her bad judgment and lack of administrative skills caught up with her. However, if she frames it right, Auntie Saunders may rise again. Don't count her out yet. There are plenty of low level colleges where she could rise again."

Too many bad administrators create problems then simply move on. The faculty and students have no way of knowing what messes these bad administrators leave behind them. Boards of Trustees, such as the IHL in Mississippi, frequently keep the entire process secret, from the interviews to the firings. So, the miscreant administrators can take their bad behavior to other schools. One of the things usmnews.net tries to do is to let others have access to information so that they can make informed decisions.